

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/533,193	09/29/2005	Genevieve Andre-Fontaine	033339/292053	9098
826 7590 10/16/2007 ALSTON & BIRD LLP BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA			EXAMINER	
			RUSSEL, JEFFREY E	
	RYON STREET, SUIT , NC 28280-4000	E 4000	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CIMICLOTIL	, 140 20200-4000		1654	
Y.				
			MAIL DATE .	DELIVERY MODE
			10/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/533,193	ANDRE-FONTAINE ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	•	Jeffrey E. Russel	1654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address ?							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION AND A REPLY SOLUTION AND A REPLY AND A	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status			•				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Ju	uly 2007.	·				
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.						
3)	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-16,20-23 and 26-28</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)	6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-16,20-23 and 26-28</u> are subject to r	estriction and/or election requ	uirement.				
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	<b>₽</b> Γ.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		·				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	•		·				
		•	-				
Attachmen							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	· —	mary (PTO-413) ail Date				
3) Inform	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		mal Patent Application				

Application/Control Number: 10/533,193

Art Unit: 1654

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-6, and claims 21-22 (in part), drawn to peptides.

Group II, claim(s) 7-11, 23, and 26, and claims 21-22 (in part), drawn to antibodies produced by immunization with the peptide.

Group III, claim(s) 12-14, 16, 20, 27, and 28, and claims 21-22 (in part), drawn to nucleic acids encoding the peptide.

Group IV, claim(s) 15, drawn to a non-human transgenic organism comprising the nucleic acid.

The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: There is no significant structural element or common property or activity shared by all of the claimed inventions. The claimed inventions do not belong to the same recognized class of chemical compounds in the art to which the invention pertains. Finally, the X references cited in the International Search Report constitute evidence that the claimed inventions lack the same or corresponding special technical feature.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/533,193

Art Unit: 1654

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 2. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey E. Russel at telephone number (571) 272-0969. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 A.M. to 5:30 P.M. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

Art Unit: 1654

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Cecilia Tsang can be reached at (571) 272-0562. The fax number for formal communications to be entered into the record is (571) 273-8300; for informal communications such as proposed amendments, the fax number (571) 273-0969 can be used. The telephone number for the Technology Center 1600 receptionist is (571) 272-1600.

Jeffrey E. Russel

**Primary Patent Examiner** 

Art Unit 1654

**JRussel** 

October 10, 2007